



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,158	01/04/2005	Holger Klaproth	JST-02U1	9557
59538	7590	06/09/2009		
BIOTECH BEACH LAW GROUP , PC			EXAMINER	
625 BROADWAY			AUDET, MAURY A	
Suite 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			1654	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/520,158	KLAPPROTH, HOLGER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MAURY AUDET	1654	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) MAURY AUDET, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Raymond Wagenknecht, Applicant's Representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2009

**Time:** 1600

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maury Audet/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A printer rush post-allowance was sent to the Examiner indicating that specification amendment (replacement paragraph) #3 in the response of 7/18/05 did not make sense in the context of that paragraph and was an exact copy of the paragraph inserted under #4. All such amendments to #'s 1-2 & 4 appear to be grammatical in nature. Applicant has deemed the amendment noted as #3 to be an inadvertent mistake and approved of the Examiners Amendment to correc the paragraph back to it's original, which did not have any noticeable errors. Should such be found later, Applicant indicated a Certificate of Correction will be filed..